Phaeosphaeride A, an Inhibitor of STAT3-Dependent Signaling Isolated from an Endophytic Fungus

Katherine N. Maloney,[†] Wenshan Hao,[‡] Jun Xu,[‡] Jay Gibbons,[‡] John Hucul,[§] Deborah Roll,[§] Sean F. Brady,[†] Frank C. Schroeder,[†] and Jon Clardy^{*,†}

Department of Biological Chemistry and Molecular Pharmacology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts 02115

jon_clardy@hms.harvard.edu

Received June 24, 2006

ABSTRACT



Phaeosphaeride A, a nitrogen-containing bicyclic compound produced by an endophytic fungus, inhibits signaling by the transcription factor STAT3.

The signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) 3 plays a vital role in regulating cell growth and survival. In response to growth factor and cytokine stimulation, STAT3 is phosphorylated, dimerizes, and translocates into the nucleus to up-regulate transcription of a wide spectrum of genes. In many cancers—including breast,¹ prostate,² ovarian,³ and skin⁴—constitutively activated STAT3 inappropriately upregulates genes that promote angiogenesis⁵ and prevent apoptosis.⁶ A constitutively active mutant STAT3 is sufficient to cause cell transformation and tumor formation.⁷

The short STAT3 pathway offers few opportunities for small molecule therapeutic intervention. The natural products

cucurbitacin I (1) and resveratrol (2) both inhibit STAT3 activity by targeting tyrosine kinase activators,⁸ and the semisynthetic flavone flavopiridol (3) interferes with STAT3 binding to DNA (Figure 1).⁹ Flavopiridol is in phase I clinical cancer trials, but in addition to disrupting STAT3–DNA binding, flavopiridol also inhibits several growth-promoting kinases.¹⁰ In an effort to identify other small molecule inhibitors of STAT3, a 10 000-member library consisting

2006 Vol. 8, No. 18

4067-4070

 $^{^\}dagger$ Department of Biological Chemistry and Molecular Pharmacology, Harvard Medical School.

 $^{^{\}ddagger}$ Department of Discovery Oncology, Wyeth Research, Pearl River, NY 10965.

[§] Department of Chemical and Screening Sciences, Wyeth Research, Pearl River, NY 10965.

Garcia, R.; Yu, C. L.; Hudnall, A.; Catlett, R.; Nelson, K. L.; Smithgall, T.; Fujita, D. J.; Ethier, S. P.; Jove, R. *Cell. Growth Differ.* 1997, 8, 1267–1276.

⁽²⁾ Lou, W.; Ni, Z.; Dyer, K.; Tweardy, D. J.; Gao, A. C. *Prostate* **2000**, 42, 239–242.

⁽³⁾ Silver, D. L.; Naora, H.; Liu, J.; Cheng, W.; Montell, D. J. Cancer Res. 2004, 64, 3550–3558.

⁽⁴⁾ Pansky, A.; Hildebrand, P.; Fasler-Kan, E.; Baselgia, L.; Ketterer, S.; Beglinger, C.; Heim, M. H. *Int. J. Cancer* **2000**, *85*, 720–725.

⁽⁵⁾ Niu, G.; Wright, K. L.; Huang, M.; Song, L.; Haura, E.; Turkson, J.; Zhang, S.; Wang, T.; Sinibaldi, D.; Coppola, D.; Heller, R.; Ellis, L. M.; Karras, J.; Bromberg, J.; Pardoll, D.; Jove, R.; Yu, H. *Oncogene* **2002**, *21*, 2000–2008.

⁽⁶⁾ Shen, Y.; Devgan, G.; Darnell, J. E., Jr.; Bromberg, J. F. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2001, 98, 1543–1548.

⁽⁷⁾ Bromberg, J. F.; Wrzeszczynska, M. H.; Devgan, G.; Zhao, Y.; Pestell, R. G.; Albanese, C.; Darnell, J. E., Jr. *Cell* **1999**, *98*, 295–303.

^{(8) (}a) Jing, N.; Tweardy, D. J. Anticancer Drugs 2005, 16, 601–607.
(b) Kotha, A.; Sekharam, M.; Cilenti, L.; Siddiquee, K.; Khaled, A.; Zervos, A. S.; Carter, B.; Turkson, J.; Jove, R. Mol. Cancer Ther. 2006, 5, 621–629

⁽⁹⁾ Lee, Y. K.; Isham, C. R.; Kaufman, S. H.; Bible, K. C. Mol. Cancer Ther. 2006, 5, 138–148.



Figure 1. Selected inhibitors of STAT3 signaling.

primarily of natural product extracts was screened for the ability to disrupt STAT3–DNA binding. Active molecules were detected by adding test samples to cell lysate containing activated STAT3 and incubating in oligonucleotide-coated wells displaying the STAT3-binding sequence. Extracts that inhibited STAT3–DNA binding were detected by ELISA using a STAT3-specific primary antibody and a horseradish– peroxidase-linked secondary antibody.¹¹ This paper reports the isolation of phaeosphaeride A (4), an inhibitor of STAT3 signaling, and its inactive diastereomer phaeosphaeride B (5).

Endophytic fungi were isolated from plant samples collected in the Archbold Biological Station, a 5000-acre preserve in Lake Placid, Florida, which is home to an exceptionally high concentration of endemic and endangered plant species representing the distinct evolutionary history of the Florida highlands.¹² To identify STAT3 inhibitors, organic extracts of fungal cultures were added to cell lysate.

Endophyte FA39 showed uniquely potent activity against STAT3. FA39 was identified by the rDNA sequence¹³ as having 97% identity to the ascomycete *Phaeosphaeria avenaria*, the causative agent for a blotch disease of cereals. In an effort to maximize production of STAT3 inhibitory activity, FA39 was grown under a variety of conditions. Interestingly, only the extracts from cultures grown on a breakfast cereal showed activity against STAT3.¹⁴

To purify the STAT3 inhibitory compounds, fungal material was first extracted exhaustively with methanol, and the extract was clarified by centrifugation prior to evaporation in vacuo. Isolation began with solvent partitioning. The

4068

extract was redissolved in 90% aqueous methanol and defatted with hexanes. The methanolic fraction was then adjusted to 60% aqueous methanol and partitioned with dichloromethane. The dichloromethane fraction was subjected to chromatography on silica gel (50:1 dichloromethane/ methanol eluent), followed by reversed-phase HPLC (65% aqueous acetonitrile eluent) to give pure phaeosphaeride A (4) (Figure 2).



Figure 2. Structures (including relative stereochemistry) of the phaeosphaerides.¹⁵

Phaeosphaeride A (4)¹⁶ was obtained as an optically active $([\alpha]^{25}_D -93.6 (c 2.0, CH_2Cl_2))$ yellow glass. HRESIMS revealed an $[M + 1]^+$ ion with exact mass 298.1656, corresponding to the molecular formula $C_{15}H_{23}NO_5 + H$ (calcd 298.1654), and five degrees of unsaturation. The ¹³C NMR spectrum of 4 contained 15 carbon resonances, consistent with the HRMS data. Comparison of the ¹³C NMR and DEPT spectra of 4 revealed five quaternary carbons, two methine carbons, five methylene carbons, and three methyl carbons. Attached protons for each carbon were established by ¹H-¹³C HMQC.

Three spin systems were observed in the double quantumfiltered ${}^{1}\text{H}-{}^{1}\text{H}$ COSY (dqfCOSY) spectrum of **4** in DMSO d_{6} (Figure 3a). One spin system extended from the methyl



Figure 3. (a) Spin systems observed in the dqfCOSY spectrum of phaeosphaeride A (4). (b) Important HMBC correlations for 4. (c) Important NOEs for 4.

protons at δ 0.85 (H-13) through four sets of methylene protons (δ 1.28, H-12; 1.27, H-11; 1.44, H-10; 1.51 and 1.82,

⁽¹⁰⁾ El-Rayes, B. F.; Gadgeel, S.; Parchment, R.; Lorusso, P.; Philip, P. A. *Invest. New Drugs* **2006**, *24*, 305–310.

⁽¹¹⁾ The assay was performed using the Trans-AM STAT Transcription Factor Assay Kit (Active MotifNorth America, Carlsbad, CA), according to manufacturer instructions.

⁽¹²⁾ Dobson, A. P.; Rodriguez, J. P.; Roberts, W. M.; Wilcove, D. S. Science 1997, 275, 550-553.

⁽¹³⁾ Genomic DNA was extracted from the fungal tissue using the Wizard Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Promega Corporation, Madison, WI) and used in a polymerase chain reaction with primers LR0R (5'-ACCCGCT-GAACTTAAGC-3') and LR5 (5'-TCCTGAAGGGAAACTTCG-3') to amplify a 900 base pair fragment of the large subunit ribosomal DNA. The PCR product was cloned and sequenced, and the consensus sequence was used in a BLAST search to identify closely related fungi.

H-9) to the methine proton at δ 4.07 (H-8), corresponding to a straight six-carbon chain. A second spin system consisted of the two protons of a terminal alkene (δ 4.96 and 4.97, J= 1.8 Hz, H-14). In the remaining spin system, a proton at δ 3.86 (H-6) coupled to a proton signal at δ 5.44 (OH-6, J= 5.3 Hz). The latter signal was not observed in the ¹H NMR spectrum taken in CD₃OD, indicating an exchangeable proton.

Correlations seen in the ¹H-¹³C HMBC spectrum of 4 established the carbon skeleton shown in Figure 3b. Methyl protons at δ 1.18 (H-15) and an exchangeable proton at δ 4.92 (OH-7) both showed strong correlations to methine carbons at δ 64.1 (C-6) and 86.2 (C-8) and to the quaternary carbon at δ 70.8 (C-7), thus establishing the connectivity of carbons C-6 through C-8 and the methyl and hydroxyl groups attached to C-7. The methine proton at δ 3.86 (H-6) showed $^{1}\text{H}-^{13}\text{C}$ HMBC correlations to carbons at δ 104.7 (C-5), 155.2 (C-4), and 166.5 (C-1), in addition to (redundant) correlations to carbons C-7 and C-8 (δ 70.8 and 86.2, respectively). No other proton resonances showed correlations to C-1 (δ 166.5). The terminal alkene protons (δ 4.96 and 4.97, H-14) showed strong correlations to the carbon at δ 155.2 (C-4) and to a carbon at δ 137.0 (C-3). C-3 can only be located between C-14 and C-4. An observed correlation from the methine proton H-8 (δ 4.07) to C-4 (δ 155.2) can only be explained if carbons C-4 through C-8 are arranged in a ring. The chemical shifts of C-4 (δ 155.2) and C-8 (δ 86.2) indicate they are connected by oxygen.

Of the five degrees of unsaturation allowed by the molecular formula, four are accounted for by the ring (connecting carbons C-4 through C-8), two double bonds (connecting C-4 to C-5 and C-3 to C-14), and a carbonyl group at C-1 (δ 166.5). Only a methyl group and one atom each of nitrogen and oxygen remain from the molecular formula. The remaining methyl group is very highly deshielded, with a carbon chemical shift of δ 63.7 and a proton shift of δ 3.79 (H-16), and hence must be attached to oxygen. The structure shown as **4** is the only structure consistent with all the NMR data and with the molecular formula determined by HRMS.

The phase-sensitive NOESY spectrum of **4** showed a strong correlation between the deshielded methyl protons at δ 3.79 (H-16) and the terminal alkene proton at δ 4.96 (H-14), confirming the placement of this methyl group (Figure 3c). The NOE data are most consistent with the relative stereochemistry shown in Figure 3c. An NOE correlation between methine protons H-6 (δ 3.86) and H-8 (δ 4.07) establishes the pseudodiaxial relationship of these hydrogens. Strong positive NOE correlations between both of these hydrogens and methyl protons H-15 (δ 1.18), as well as between the two OH protons (δ 5.44, OH-6, and 4.92, OH-7), suggest that both hydroxyls and the C-8 alkyl chain are on the same face.

To confirm the configuration at C-7, *p*-bromobenzoyl derivatives of phaeosphaeride A (**4**) were prepared.¹⁷ The major product was determined by NMR to be the mono-*p*-bromobenzoyl derivative of phaeosphaeride A (**6**). A second, minor product was demonstrated to be the tri-*p*-bromobenzoyl derivative (**7**) (Figure 4). Attempts to crystallize **6** and



Figure 4. *p*-Bromobenzoyl derivatives of phaeosphaeride A.

7 were unsuccessful. However, NOE spectra for each compound in DMSO- d_6 provided additional evidence for the relative stereochemistry shown. NOE correlations between the methyl H-15 protons (δ 1.20 in **6**; 1.21 in **7**) and both pseudodiaxial protons H-6 and H-8 (δ 5.78 and 4.31 in **6**, respectively; 5.75 and 4.16 in **7**) were stronger in **6** and **7** than in **4**; presumably, the additional steric bulk provided by the bromobenzoyl substitutions enforces a more defined pseudochair conformation in the six-membered ring.

Examination of the ¹H NMR spectra of the inactive fractions from FA39 revealed a relative of phaeosphaeride A that eluted earlier from the silica column (20:1 dichloromethane/methanol eluent). This compound was also puri-

⁽¹⁴⁾ Culture conditions tested included stationary and shaken cultures in potato dextrose broth and rich/poor culture conditions (a carbon-rich seed followed by a carbon-poor production medium), as well as culturing on solid media including cracked corn, rice, and Fiber One cereal. The optimized culture conditions were as follows. Agar plugs of FA39 were used to inoculate seed cultures in potato dextrose broth at 25 °C. The threeday-old seed cultures were then used to inoculate flasks containing Fiber One cereal that had been wetted with 0.1% yeast extract. The fungus was allowed to grow for 7 days at 25 °C prior to extraction. A control extract of Fiber One cereal was inactive.

⁽¹⁵⁾ The numbering used is the same as that for the spirostaphylotrichins: Sandmeier, P.; Tamm, C. *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1989**, *72*, 774–783.

⁽¹⁶⁾ UV λ_{max} (CH₃CN) 262 nm; IR (NaCl, thin film) ν_{max} 3421, 2956, 2931, 2860, 1706, 1633, 1445, 1087, 1064 cm⁻¹; ¹³C NMR (DMSO- d_6 , δ) 166.5 (C-1), 155.2 (C-4), 137.0 (C-3), 104.7 (C-5), 90.8 (C-14), 86.2 (C-8), 70.8 (C-7), 64.1 (C-6), 63.7 (C-16), 30.8 (C-11), 27.5 (C-9), 25.9 (C-10), 21.9 (C-12), 20.5 (C-15), 13.8 (C-13); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6 , δ) 5.44 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, 1H, OH-6), 4.97 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H, H-14a), 4.96 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H, H-14b), 4.92 (s, 1H, OH-7), 4.07 (d, J = 11.5 Hz, 1H, H-8), 3.86 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, 1H, H-6), 3.79 (s, 3H, H-16), 1.82 (m, 1H, H-9a), 1.51 (m, 1H, H-9b), 1.44 (m, 2H, H-10), 1.28 (m, 2H, H-12), 1.27 (m, 2H, H-11), 1.18 (s, 3H, H-15), 0.85 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H, H-13). Not all signals could be accurately integrated due to overlap.

⁽¹⁷⁾ Dry 4 was stirred in dichloromethane on ice with 4.5 equiv of N,N-diisopropylethylamine, 4 equiv of p-bromobenzoyl chloride, and a catalytic amount of (dimethylamino)pyridine. The reaction was allowed to slowly warm to room temperature and was stirred until complete disappearance of 4, as observed by LCMS.

⁽¹⁸⁾ UV λ_{max} (CH₃CN) 262 nm; IR (NaCl, thin film) ν_{max} 3387, 1955, 2932, 2859, 1701, 1637, 1444, 1058, 1012 cm⁻¹; ¹³C NMR (DMSO- d_6 , δ) 165.3 (C-1), 156.8 (C-4), 136.0 (C-3), 105.0 (C-5), 91.4 (C-14), 80.3 (C-8), 69.4 (C-7), 63.6 (C-6), 63.4 (C-16), 30.8 (C-11), 26.6 (C-9), 25.1 (C-10), 21.6 (C-12), 17.9 (C-15), 13.7 (C-13); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6 , δ) 5.49 (d, J = 5.9 Hz, 1H, OH-6), 5.03 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H, H-14a), 5.02 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H, H-14b), 4.59 (s, 1H, OH-7), 3.98 (dd, J = 1.6 Hz, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H, H-8), 3.78 (s, 3H, H-16), 1.55 (d, J = 5.9 Hz, 1H, H-6), 1.86 (m, 1H, H-9a), 1.63 (m, 2H, H-10), 1.54 (m, 1H, H-9b), 1.33 (m, 2H, H-11), 1.54 (m, 1H, H-9b), 1.33 (m, 2H, H-12), 1.32 (m, 2H, H-11), 0.90 (m, 3H, H-13), 0.88 (s, 3H, H-15). Not all signals could be accurately integrated due to overlap.

fied by reversed-phase HPLC (70% aqueous acetonitrile eluent) to give pure phaeosphaeride B (5).

Phaeosphaeride B (5)¹⁸ was obtained as an optically active $([\alpha]^{25}{}_{\rm D} -157.2 \ (c \ 2.0, \ CH_2Cl_2))$ orange glass. HRESIMS revealed an $[M + 1]^+$ ion with an exact mass of 298.1660, which is consistent with a molecular formula of $C_{15}H_{23}NO_5$ + H (calcd 298.1654), the same molecular formula as phaeosphaeride A (4). ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of 5 were nearly identical to those for 4. The only significant differences were the carbon chemical shift at C-8 (δ 80.3 in 5, compared to 86.2 in 4) and the carbon and proton chemical shifts of the methyl group C-15 (δ 17.9 and 0.88 in 5, respectively; 20.5 and 1.18 in 4). The NOESY spectrum of 5 lacked the diaxial correlation between the methine protons H-6 and H-8, indicating that 5 is a diastereomer of 4, differing in the stereochemical configuration at C-8.

The phaeosphaerides are related to several families of fungal metabolites, most notably the curvupallides, e.g., curvupallide A (8) isolated from *Curvularia pallescens*,¹⁹ and the lipid-lowering spirostaphylotrichins/triticones, e.g., spirostaphylotrichin A/triticone C (9) (Figure 5) isolated inde-





pendently from *Staphylotrichum coccosporum*²⁰ and from *Drechslera tritici-repentis*.²¹ These families of compounds share the unusual *o*-methyl hydroxamic acid functional group of the phaeosphaerides. However, the phaeosphaerides have a previously unreported methyl group at C-15.

Phaeosphaeride A (4) inhibited STAT3 with an IC_{50} of 0.61 mM in the primary ELISA-based screen. However, when tested in vivo using STAT3-dependent U266 multiple myeloma cells, phaeosphaeride A (4) was almost 100-fold

more potent, inhibiting cell growth with an IC₅₀ of 6.7 μ M, suggesting that **4** may have another target in cells. An additional target for **4** was also suggested by its low micromolar activity against the STAT3-independent K562 cell line. Phaeosphaeride A (**4**) is selective for STAT3 over other STAT proteins; it has only slight activity against STAT1 from U937 cells and no activity against STAT5 from Nb2 cells in the ELISA-based assay (Figure 6). Phaeosphaeride



Figure 6. Phaeosphaeride A (4) selectively inhibits STAT3. Data shown are from ELISA using whole-cell lysates of the indicated cancer cell lines.

A (4) was also active against STAT3 from a second cancer cell line (HepG2). Interestingly, its diastereomer phaeosphaeride B (5) showed no activity against STAT3.

We have identified two fungal metabolites based on a new carbon skeleton, one of which is an inhibitor of STAT3 signaling. The phaeosphaerides join the growing number of pharmaceutically useful natural products from endophytic fungi.²²

Acknowledgment. This research was supported by an NIH-NCDDG grant (CA67786). K.M. was supported by an NSF Graduate Research Fellowship. HRESIMS was performed by Steve Mullen of the Mass Spectrometry Facility at the University of Illinois at Urbana Champaign. Optical rotation and IR data were obtained with help from Sang Kyun Kim in the laboratory of Eric Jacobsen of the Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology at Harvard University.

Supporting Information Available: Large subunit rDNA sequence of FA39, ELISA and cell growth assay data for **4**, and NMR spectra of **4** and **5** in DMSO and of **6** and **7** in methanol. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

OL061556F

⁽¹⁹⁾ Abraham, W.-R.; Meyer, H.; Abate, D. Tetrahedron 1995, 51, 4947-4952.

⁽²⁰⁾ Peter, H.; Auden, J. A. L. (Ciba-Geigy A.-G., Switz.). Patent DE 3522578, 1986.

^{(21) (}a) Sugawara, F.; Takahashi, N.; Strobel, G. A.; Strobel, S. A.; Lu, H. S. M.; Clardy, J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *110*, 4086–4087. (b) Hallock, Y. F.; Lu, H. S. M.; Clardy, J.; Strobel, G. A.; Sugawara, F.; Samsoedin, R.; Yoshida, S. *J. Nat. Prod.* **1993**, *56*, 747–754.

⁽²²⁾ For reviews see: (a) Gunatilaka, A. A. J. Nat. Prod. **2006**, 69, 509–526. (b) Schulz, B.; Boyle, C.; Draeger, S.; Roemmert, A.-K.; Krohn, K. *Mycol. Res.* **2002**, *106*, 996–1004. (c) Tan, R. X.; Zou, W. X. Nat. Prod. Rep. **2001**, *18*, 448–459.